

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/082,044	<u>.</u>	05/20/1998	NITIN J. SHAH	SHAH-11 2247 EXAMINER	
47394	7590	02/08/2005			
HITT GAI	•		DINH, KHANH Q		
LUCENT T	ECHNOL	OGIES INC.			
PO BOX 832570				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RICHARDSON, TX 75083			2151	22	
				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/082,044	SHAH, NITIN J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Khanh Dinh	2151					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	ebruary 2002.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1.3-8.10-15 and 17-21 is/are pending	in the application.	·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* **	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 17, 18.	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 2/25/2002, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The new rejection sets forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-15 and 17-21 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Art Unit: 2151

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-15 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada et al US pat. No.5,845,079 in view of Maddalozzo Jr. et al., US pat. No.5,974,460.

As to claim 1, Wada discloses a system for communicating with the Internet comprising:

a site (12 fig.6) couplable to a network (network B fig.6) that contains data presented at a site (i.e., transmitting data packets both before and after migration, see fig.6, abstract, col.16 lines 15-58).

a communication manager (gateway 13 fig.3) that manages communication with site based on determination when the site is the mobile site, either to said mobile site (mobile host 11 fig.6) when said mobile site is available in a wireless communication with the network (migration) or the mobile site is out of wireless communication with said communication network (see col.13 lines 1-49 and col.16 line 59 to col.17 line 25), an address parser (address comparison unit 48 fig.8) that makes a determination of whether said site is a mobile site or a fixed site (determining if the destination address of the packet received from the application coinciding with the mobile host assigned before migration, see col.13 line 50 to col.14 line 54).

Wada does not specifically disclose a mirror site containing a time-delay copy of data.

However, Maddalozzo discloses a mirror site containing a time-delay copy of data

(providing a mirror site to provide copies of the same information sought by users at any

Art Unit: 2151

given time, see fig.3, col.1 lines 19-41 and col.3 line 57 to col.4 line 42). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Maddalozzo's mirror site into the computer system of Wada to provide data information to users because it would have enabled users to initiate selectively sample data transfers from a plurality of mirror sites on the Internet and thus provided the best transfer rates at the time of site selection.

As to claim 3, the Wada-Maddalozzo combination discloses that communications manager prompts said site to update said mirror (see Wada's abstract and col.39 lines 28-65 and Maddalozzo's col.3 line 57 to col.4 line 42).

As to claim 4, Maddalozzo discloses that communications manager buffers said communications to accommodate lower bandwidth when said site is a mobile site (determining the most efficient site to accommodate predetermined threshold time, see fig.4, col.3 lines 10-57 and col.4 line 43 to col.5 line17). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Maddalozzo's mirror site into the computer system of Wada to provide data information to users because it would have enabled users to initiate selectively sample data transfers from a plurality of mirror sites on the Internet and thus provided the best transfer rates at the time of site selection.

Art Unit: 2151

As to claim 5, Wada further discloses that the address parser makes said determination of whether said site is said mobile site (i.e., using Virtual Internet Protocol and Physical Internet Protocol as a header of the packet as it transmits a packet to a mobile node) (see col.1 line 51 to col.2 line 23). Maddalozzo disclose a plurality of web site linked to mirrored sites (see fig.3, col.1 lines 19-41 and col.4 line 58 to col.4 line 67, it is also inherent that these web sites/mirror sites having particular domain names). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Maddalozzo's teachings into the computer system of Wada to provide data information to users because it would have enabled users to initiate selectively sample data transfers from a plurality of mirror sites on the Internet and thus provided the best transfer rates at the time of site selection.

As to claim 6, Wada further discloses that the communications manager acknowledges said communications to said mobile site (determining of the mobile host in migration or not, see col.2 lines 9-23 and col.16 line 33 to col.17 line 45).

As to claim 7, Wada discloses that the communications manager and an address parser are associated with the Internet (see Wada's fig.6, col.1 line 57 to col.2 line 9 and col.16 line 33 to col.17 line 45).

Claims 8, 10 and 12-14 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1, 3 and 5-7 respectively.

Art Unit: 2151

Claims 11 and 18 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 4.

Claim 15 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 1 with the combination of Wada and Maddalozzo. As to the added limitations, Wada further discloses a plurality of fixed sites associated with the stationary host (12 of fig.6 and figs. 11), a plurality of mobile sites having mobile-site domain names associated with the mobile host (mobile hosts 11 of fig.6 and figs. 11) and a communications infrastructure that couples ones of said pluralities of fixed and mobile sites for communication. Maddalozzo discloses these sites having fixed-site domain names and mobile-site domain names (see fig.3, col.1 lines 19-41 and col.4 line 58 to col.4 line 67, it is also inherent that these web sites/mirror sites having particular domain names). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Maddalozzo's mirror site into the computer system of Wada to provide data information to users because it would have enabled users to initiate selectively sample data transfers from a plurality of mirror sites on the Internet and thus provided the best transfer rates at the time of site selection.

Claims 17 and 19-21 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 3 and 5-7 respectively.

Art Unit: 2151

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 10-15 and 17-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Other prior art cited

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Averbuch et al, US pat. No.5,867,785.
 - b. Shah, US pat. No.6,260,070.

Conclusion

- 7. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-15 and 17-21 are rejected.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (703) 272-3939. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire THREE months from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response

Art Unit: 2151

will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U. S. C. Sect. 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khanh Dinh Patent Examiner Art Unit 2151

1/26/2005